

TO THE NZ PROGRESSIVE COMMISSION

Submission by  
DIE CHANDLER  
1 HARDLEY AVE.  
MT ROSKILL  
AUCKLAND 1041

PH/FAX (09) 620-1614

on

Housing AFFORDABILITY

Summary version of draft report

December 2011

Housing AFFORDABILITY  
DRAFT REPORT DEC 2011

Introduction and General Remarks

I did not have the opportunity to contribute to the original document, hence these comments will be fairly concise. Please telephone me if further explanation is required. My background is in Civil Engineering and Town Planning and I am now retired.

I would note at the outset that affordable housing is not a new topic - it has been with us for at least the 60 years since the war. At various times rents were controlled by the Fair Rents Tribunal and a high (45%) income tax on rents, house prices were subject to determinations by the Land Sales Court. Later, mortgages were very difficult to obtain except for lower income households qualifying under State Allowances criteria. When trading banks entered the mortgage market, loans were often subject to preconditions such as having a home loan savings

account. After 1990 mortgage interest rates increased to about 15% or more, again putting stress on lower and middle income households.

I believe that if housing is to be made more affordable, especially in Auckland, then two preconditions are necessary.

First, when new land is zoned for housing, the increase in value must be captured either by the council or the Government.

Secondly, development should be by volume housebuilder who can bring the economics of mass production to the market.

To avoid speculators making a killing, some form of resale covenant may be necessary.

Finally, the Government should be pressed to implement a Population Policy with appropriate limits on immigration.

Specific comments on Summary version of draft  
Report - December 2011

Page 17

2. Re N2 housing scene

Key point 7 Add further sentence

"It is also evident that many dwelling units  
lack adequate earthquake resistance"  
comment.

The Christchurch earthquake has  
shown that the construction elements of many  
houses were not adequately tied together.

Also, under the Building Act, residential buildings  
of two or more stories containing four or more  
units are defined as being earthquake prone  
and councils are required to have policies  
in place to address this.

P19

4  
Housing affordability: distribution and trends

### Key point 5

#### Comment

It is not just New Zealand European who may be "well off". Higher priced housing in Auckland is increasingly being bought by Chinese and Korean immigrants who may pay up to 50% over the recently revised valuations.

5

P21

## 6. The role of taxation

Key point 2 line 6 (Second sub-paragraph)

Reword to read

"— the application of GST to the price of new housing and the cost of housing renovations for both rental and owner-occupied housing

Comment.

The existing wording is misleading as housing generally (ie. second-hand sales and rents) is not subject to GST (unlike commercial buildings)

Key points 4 & 5

Comment — These are strongly endorsed

P22

R 6.1 — line 2

Reward to read

" commercial properties and rental housing,  
for evidence -----"

Comment

The removal of the depreciation allowance affects both rental commercial properties and rental housing. The revised wording clarifies this.

F 6.4 — 1<sup>ST</sup> paragraph

Reward to read

" The existing GST treatment of new housing with its exemption from the sale price of second hand housing and residential rents is appropriate "

Comment

This clarifies the intention of the wording.

Z

P22 continued

E6.5 Point 1 line 1

for 'price of a house' read 'price of a new house'

Comment

This clarifies the fact that the sale  
of a second-hand house (not used for business  
purposes!) does not attract GST.

P 23

## 7. Urban planning and housing affordability.

Key point 3 line 4

for 'collaborative models'

Read 'collaborative models such as those involving some form of public-private-partnership for the process - - -"

Key point 3 line 5

Reword to read

"releasing large scale tracts of land as essential if the price of a house plus land package is to be affordable"

## Comment

Evidence\* shows that developers will buy up land by an urban "fence" in the expectation of a windfall when the land is rezoned. The former manukan bty board overcame this by buying up the raw land before rezoning so that they (the public) gained the windfall.

\* including Australian evidence

P 24

R 7.1

word as follows

"The Anchored Council should show in the final Anchored Plan where affordable housing would be best located; whether within the isthmus urban boundary, or by incremental extensions of this boundary or by encouraging the development of satellite centres such as Helensville and Pukekohe"

Document

On like the UK. with its council housing, affordable housing in NZ has generally been considered to be a function of central government. This is primarily because it usually involves a distribution of wealth — usually by way of subsidy or grant — which is a function of central government. This is even more so

how the sections of the Local Government Act 1974 giving councils the power to build houses at flats etc (Part XXXII Sections 529-575) were repealed by the Local Government Act 2002.

(Note however that section 189 of Local Government Act 2002 apparently gives a council the power to compulsorily acquire land for housing purposes.)

The elements of affordable housing which the council can influence are limited and include

- the appropriate zoning of land
- Subdivisional standards
- provision of infrastructure
- costs of consents

Land for affordable housing should be flatish with little slope so as to minimize siteworks and cost of building, with preferably no culverting.

This is a big ask for Auckland with its generally hilly terrain (and worse for Wellington)

Second generation housing built on previously developed land cleared of buildings etc for the purpose (i.e. urban renewal) would not qualify unless it was multi-storey development so as to spread the land preparation purchase costs.

The Commission will no doubt be aware of the overseas literature on tower blocks housing low to middle income households and the adverse social effects arising therefrom.

(Note - the growth of Auckland by expanding satellite centres such as Pukekohe and Helensville was advocated by Professor Bamberger some 40-50 years ago. Also, it must be remembered that in Britain, much post-war development was accommodated in new towns.)

12

P 24

R 7.2

Comment Some degree of council or government involvement would be necessary if land costs are to held down — see comment page 8 of this submission

R 7.3

add after 'tracts of land'

"including public-private-partnerships"

## 8. Charging for Infrastructure

Comment

It is understood that the construction of new high rise apartment blocks in central Anchland virtually ceased after the council increased its development taxes, although the demise of finance companies may also have been a factor.

## ② Building Regulations and Affordability

R9.1 Point 1

Add new sentence after 'stop the clock provision' to read

'The breakdown should distinguish between stand-alone dwellings, blocks of flats (walk-up) and multi-story apartment blocks'

Comment

The existing wording is too blunt for useful information to be obtained.

Mult-storey blocks are more complex to process compared with single-unit housing.

— " —